

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		- M. A.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,242	11/09/2001	Victoria E. Milton	MS1-2526US	6648
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 08/30/200 S PLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/037,242	MILTON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Dennis G. Bonshock	2173

			l .			
	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Dennis G. Bonshock</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Bea Koempel-Thomas</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 August 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's representative	.]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 7, 15, and 23</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Boylan, Buehl, Newlin, MS</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Representative was advised to claim a "computer readable stroage medium" in order to get over the 101 rejection. Applicant's Representative proposed sever potentical bits of claim language to which the Examiner advised the best course of action focusing on disparte types of presnentations, and the devices acting as a control points. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>						
A family described a second of the control of the c						

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required